



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/585,601

07/11/2006

Sylvain Benezech

1606.75506

8803

24978

7590

08/23/2010

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN
300 S WACKER DR
25TH FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

DUONG, THO V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3744

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/23/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/585,601	Applicant(s) BENEZECH ET AL.	
	Examiner Tho v. Duong	Art Unit 3744	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 June 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/07/10</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed 6/7/10 is acknowledged. Claims 1-16 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/7/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that Brown fails to disclose any type of fluid or gas the moves between the panels (11), has been very carefully considered but is not found to be persuasive. Brown discloses (figure 1 and column 1, lines 7-10) that gaps are disposed between the panels, which is capable of allowing circulating blower air to pass between the panels. Furthermore, applicant's argument that Brown fails to disclose a network of channels since Brown discloses only a single channel or flow path, has been very carefully considered but is not found to be persuasive. Brown discloses a plurality of parallel channels (19,21,22,23), wherein fluid flows from left to right and right to left. Similar to applicant's argument over reference to Reinke, Reinke discloses a plurality of parallel flowing channels (14,16 and 18), wherein fluid is allowed to flow from left to right and right to left.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (US 6,470,878). Brown discloses (figures 1-3 and column 1, lines 7-10) a heat exchanger

Art Unit: 3744

comprising modules defining a first path for a first fluid, each comprising two metal sheets forming between them a network of channels (19,21,22,23) which are located in parallel with each other from the fluidic point of view, and over the whole length of the modules, each adjacent channel of the network of channels being isolated by two respective weld lines connecting two metal sheets; weld lines connect the two metal sheets; a second path for a second fluid is defined between the modules, wherein a passage cross section varies over a length of at least one of the first and second paths with continuity of profiles of the channels;; the pitch between weld lines varies progressively over at least part of the length of the channels of one module; the inflation of the metal sheets of a module varies progressively over at least part of the length of the channels; the arrangement of the modules in relation to each other produces an overall variation in the passage cross section over the length of the second path. Regarding claim 7, the it has been held that a recitation (intended for a phase change process) with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitation. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647. Regarding claim 8, the planes that the modules are located in, are parallel to each other. Regarding claim 9, Brown discloses modules are in convergent planes where two sheets of the module are joined.

Claims 1-9, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reinke et al. (US 6,109,254). Reinke discloses (figures 3-5) a heat exchanger comprising modules defining a first path for a first fluid, each comprising two metal sheets (30,32) forming between them a network of channels (14,16,18) which are located in parallel with each other from the fluidic point of view, and over the whole length of the modules, each adjacent channel of the

Art Unit: 3744

network of channels being isolated by two respective weld lines connecting two metal sheets; weld lines connect the two metal sheets; a second path for a second fluid is defined between the modules, wherein a passage cross section varies over a length of at least one of the first and second paths with continuity of profiles of the channels;; the pitch between weld lines varies progressively over at least part of the length of the channels of one module; the inflation of the metal sheets of a module varies progressively over at least part of the length of the channels; the arrangement of the modules in relation to each other produces an overall variation in the passage cross section over the length of the second path. Regarding claim 7, the it has been held that a recitation (intended for a phase change process) with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitation. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647. Regarding claim 8, the planes that the modules are located in, are parallel to each other. Regarding claim 9, Brown discloses modules are in convergent planes where two sheets of the module are joined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown/Reinke in view of Wakisaka Nobuaki (JP 57192798). Brown/Reinke discloses all of applicant's

Art Unit: 3744

claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation that longitudinal edges, each forming an angle with the other, each being almost parallel to a respective outside line of weld. Wakisaka discloses (figure 7) a heat exchanger that has a longitudinal edge almost parallel to the connecting line and form angle with the other longitudinal edge for a purpose of enhancing the heat transfer rate of the heat exchanger. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Wakisaka's teaching in either Brown or Reinke's device for a purpose of enhancing the heat transfer rate of the heat exchanger.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tyler J. Cheryl can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tho v Duong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744